

THE DETERMINATION OF CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND BY SEMI-AUTOMATED COLORIMETRY EPA 410.4 REVISION 2.0 1993						Page 1 of 3
Facility Name: _____ VELAP ID: _____						
Assessor Name: _____ Analyst Name: _____ Inspection Date: _____						
Relevant Aspect of Standards	Method Reference	Y	N	N/A	Comments	
<i>Records Examined:</i> SOP Number/ Revision/ Date _____ Analyst: _____						
Sample ID: _____ Date of Sample Preparation: _____ Date of Analysis: _____						
Was the reagent water used ASTM Type II or equivalent?	7.1					
Were samples collected in glass or plastic bottles?	8.1					
Were samples preserved with H ₂ SO ₄ to a pH <2 and cooled to 4°C at the time of collection?	8.2					
Were preserved samples maintained 4°C and held for no longer than 28 days prior to analysis?	8.3					
Initial Demonstration of Performance						
Did the laboratory perform an Initial Demonstration of Capability prior to analyzing samples?	9.1					
Did the laboratory determine a Linear Calibration Range on its spectrometer when beginning this method?	9.2.2					
Did the laboratory verify the Linear Calibration Range every six months or whenever a significant change in instrument response was observed or expected?	9.2.2					
Did the verifications of linearity consist of a blank and three standards and measure the data points to be within ±10%?	9.2.2 10.1					
When beginning this method and every quarter did the laboratory analyze QCS samples to be within ±10% of stated values?	9.2.3					
Were QCS samples analyzed before determining MDLs?	9.2.3 10.7					
Were MDLs determined when laboratory began method, when new operator began work, when there was a significant change in instrument response, and every six months?	9.2.4					
Notes/Comments:						

THE DETERMINATION OF CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND BY SEMI-AUTOMATED COLORIMETRY EPA 410.4 REVISION 2.0 1993						Page 2 of 3
Relevant Aspect of Standards	Method Reference	Y	N	N/A	Comments	
Were MDLs determined from samples consisting of seven replicates of reagent water fortified to two or three times the estimated instrument detection limit?	9.2.4					
Were standard deviations and means calculated from MDL replicates?	9.2.4					
Assessing Laboratory Performance						
Was an LRBs analyzed with every batch of samples?	9.3.1					
Were LRBs analyzed to be below MDL values?	9.3.1					
Were LFBs analyzed with every batch of samples to have recoveries of 90-110%?	9.3.2					
When LFB data were compiled into 3 standard deviation control limits, were these limits tighter than 90-110%?	9.3.3					
Were IPC samples fortified to approximately mid-range in the calibration analyzed first and every ten samples to be within $\pm 10\%$ of the calibration?	9.3.4					
Assessing Analyte Recovery						
Did a minimum of 10% of routine samples have duplicate samples fortified to be LFM	9.4.1					
Were LFM recoveries between 90-110% unless failures were matrix related?	9.4.2					
Procedure						
Were all tubes and screw caps washed with 20% H ₂ SO ₄ prior to first use?	11.1					
Were tubes ignited in a muffle furnace at 500°C for one hour prior to first use?	11.1					
Were tubes digested for two hours at 150°C?	11.6					
Were digested tubes mixed, cooled, and settled prior to analysis?	11.7					
Notes/Comments:						

THE DETERMINATION OF CHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND BY SEMI-AUTOMATED COLORIMETRY
EPA 410.4 REVISION 2.0 1993

Page 3 of 3

Relevant Aspect of Standards	Method Reference	Y	N	N/A	Comments
Data Analysis and Calculations					
Were calibration curves formed by plotting instrument response against standard concentration?	12.1				
Were only values that fell between the lowest and highest calibration curve reported?	12.2				
Were samples that fell above the calibration range diluted and reanalyzed?	12.2				
Notes/Comments:					